

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Bernard fliegelman

**Date Submitted:** 04/14/2021 10:16 AM

**Council File No:** 20-0922

**Comments for Public Posting:** A fee increase of any amount must not be considered until a thoughtful program evaluation is completed and cost-effective programmatic solutions are advanced. Urge the Housing Committee to direct HCID+LA to conduct a comprehensive program evaluation that includes consideration of the following potential program modifications: inspecting a sampling (percentage) of building units instead of all units, instituting a sliding scale fee structure whereby higher fees are charged per unit for properties that have a history of violations, and extending inspection cycles from every 4 to 6 years.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Larry Larson

**Date Submitted:** 04/14/2021 10:01 AM

**Council File No:** 20-0922

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am the general partner of a 47 unit apartment house in Los Angeles. Because of the shut-down, we have 7 vacancies, 6 non paying tenants, and 2 who are paying 25% of their rent. We cannot afford more fees during this very bad economic time. We are struggling now to pay our mortgage and our bills, which includes utilities for all tenants. The city should not raise fees of any type until the shut down is over and tenants again are forced to pay their rent. Larry Larson Pac. Investment Co.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/14/2021 12:40 PM

**Council File No:** 20-0922

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the Agenda Item 2/Council File 20-0922 of exorbitant fee increase. Why should landlords pay an increased/additional fee when renters are not even paying rent. Due to the pandemic it has already made it extremely difficult for landlord.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/14/2021 02:21 PM

**Council File No:** 20-0922

**Comments for Public Posting:** Everyone has had losses this past year, especially the property owners. Charging this excessive SCEP and ONLY to the owners is bully behavior. Please stop bullying the property owners. We provide quality housing to low income families in LA why does the City of LA disrespect us for being honest, hard working people? If you need additional funds, why not look at the gas stations and car dealers? We were unable to increase rents or charge late fees although our costs increased; for example, our liability insurance went up 50% during the pandemic. And, why are the tenants not being held responsible when they are the beneficiaries?

## Communication from Public

**Name:** John Parks

**Date Submitted:** 04/14/2021 02:53 PM

**Council File No:** 20-0922

**Comments for Public Posting:** John Parks Coalition for Economic Survival. CES and its members urge city council to adopt the proposed SCEP fee increase with the burden of this increase split 50/50 between tenants and property owners. A robust SCEP program benefits both tenants and landlords, however it is unjust to have tenants pay for 100% of this cost of this program, in addition to existing sky-high rents. A 50/50 split will be the most equitable option to implement this program as tenants will have insurance that their units will not fall into slum conditions and landlords will have an opportunity to cure any violations on the property. While we understand the need for an increase to support the SCEP system, the burden of cost cannot fall solely to tenants who are already at-risk of displacement. Thank you.